<b>B-58 Upgrade Distribution</b>	Feeders.	, \$1	,383,	,200
----------------------------------	----------	-------	-------	------

2 Q. Are there areas of the NLH distribution system where performance is worse 3 than or equal to that of the areas included in this project? If so, name them 4 and provide reliability statistics for each in the years 2001 to 2006F.

5

7

8

9

10

11

1

A. There are areas of the Hydro distribution systems whose performance is worse than the projects proposed in 2007. Due to the large amount of data involved, the reliability statistics for each of these systems data for each year from 2001 to 2006 cannot be supplied in a timely manner for these proceedings.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

Selection of feeders for improvement in the 2007 capital budget was done by reviewing the ranking of the worst performers and through discussions with regional staff on the history of the feeders. The timing and scheduling of the work was developed with consideration on whether a number of feeders in an area were poor performers and could be grouped together for contract and mobilization efficiency to lower costs.

19 20

21

22

23

The ranking used was completed in early 2005 using the five-year data from 1999 to 2003. A cursory review in 2006 did not indicate the ranking changed significantly. A listing of the feeder ranking and steps that have been or will be taken to address performance follows:

Feeder ranking for the Interconnected Systems

age SAIFI /Customer Notes
Improvements being completed in 2006
Improvement completed in 2005
Improvements being addressed through operations and maintenance
Improvements being addressed through operations and maintenance
9 Improvements being completed in 2006
5 2007 Budget
Improvement completed in 2005
Improvements being completed in 2006
9 Improvements being completed in 2006
Improvement completed in 2005
Improvement completed in 2005
Improvement completed in 2004
'
<u> </u>
i i i
rage Saifi Notes
Improvements being addressed in through operations and maintenance
i i i
<u> </u>
· ' '
2007 Budget
2007 Badget
Improvement completed in 2005

## **PUB 89.0 NLH** NLH 2007 Capital Budget Page 3 of 4

, ,		Page 3 of 4
GLB2	3.2	
STA2	3.1	2007 Budget
BCV4	3	Improvements being completed in 2006
CHD1	2.7	
WAB12	2.6	
CRV1	2.5	
FHD3	2.5	2007 Budget
BWT6	2.5	Improvements being completed in 2006
FDL1	2.4	
HVY3	2.4	Improvements being addressed through operations and maintenance
WAB3	2.2	
PPD1	2.2	
BCX2	2.1	
FHD1	2.1	
Hudson17	1.9	
Quartzite13	1.9	
DHR1	1.9	
SOK2	1.8	
Hudson15	1.7	
Bartlett4	1.7	
Bartlett5	1.7	
WAB9	1.7	
Quartzite12	1.6	
STA8	1.6	
Bartlett2	1.5	
RWC4	1.5	
SOK3	1.4	
Quartzite8	1.4	
Feeder	5 Year Average Saifi	Notes
GBK1	1.3	
HBK1	1.3	
HDN1	1.3	
KGP2	1.3	
BWT8	1.3	Improvements being completed in 2006
Bartlett1	1.3	
Quartzite6	1.3	
RWC2	1.3	
GBK4	1.2	
Vanier22	1.1	
WAB11	1.1	
WEP2	1	
MBK1	1	
LBY1	0.9	
JAM1	0.9	

## **PUB 89.0 NLH** NLH 2007 Capital Budget Page 4 of 4

		Page 4 of 4
HRL19	0.9	
WAB7	0.9	
FDL2	0.8	
Bartlett3	0.8	
Quartzite14	0.8	
WAB8	0.8	
FHD2	0.7	2007 Budget
Hudson18	0.7	
SOK7	0.6	Improvements being completed in 2006
HVY11	0.6	
HRL20	0.6	
MBK2	0.6	
Quartzite7	0.5	
Vanier23	0.5	
LBY2	0.4	
PEF2	0.3	
MKS2	0.3	
WEP1	0.3	
HVY17	0.3	
Hudson16	0.3	
WDL1	0.3	
BWT2	0.2	
HVY16	0.2	
Vanier21	0.2	
MKS1	0.1	
BCX5	0.1	
RWC3	0.1	
SCV1	0.1	
SOK6	0	
HVY12	0	