3 4 Q.

- 5 6 7
- A. Table 1 below provides a comparison of the actual versus forecast Operating Expenses -Distribution for the period 1996 to forecast 2004.

current and forecast, and the percent of increase/decrease, as the case may be.

In reference to the evidence of Earl Ludlow, page 10, Table 3, please provide, year

over year, the Operating Expenses – Distribution, actual versus forecast for 1996 to

Table 1 **Actual versus Forecast Operating Expenses – Distribution** 1996 - 2004F (\$000s)

			Variance – Over (Under)	
Year	Forecast	Actual	\$	%
1996	_ 1	6,647	n/a	n/a
1997	- 1	6,761	n/a	n/a
1998	7,115	7,254	139	2
1999	7,508	7,318	(190)	(2.5)
2000	6,936	7,191	255	3.7
2001	6,560	6,262	(298)	(4.5)
2002F	5,628	n/a	n/a	n/a
2003F	5,646	n/a	n/a	n/a
2004F	5,780	n/a	n/a	n/a

9 10

11 12

Actual 1996 and 1997 expenses have been recategorized for comparative purposes in accordance with approved changes to the Company's System of Accounts. Forecast expenses for 1996 and 1997 are not readily available on the same comparative basis.