Standard & Poor's "Utilities & Perspectives" June 21, 1999



Standard & Poor's

UTILITIES PERSPECTIVES

INSIDE

- 4 . . . A Conversation With Standard & Poor's Global Utilities Rating Service Managing Director
- 6 . . . News Comments
- 6 Ratings on South Western Electricity and London Electricity Affirmed
- Northeast Utilities', Units' Ratings Affirmed
- 6 Illinova and Dynegy Agree to Merge
- 7 Citizens Utilities Ratings Remain On Watch Neg
- 7 NYSEG Placed On Watch Neg, Central Maine On Watch Pos After Merger Announcement
- B Southern Indiana GE Placed On Watch Neg; Indiana Energy and Indiana Gas On Watch Pos
- 8 Last Week's Rating Reviews
- 9 . . . Last Week's Financing Activity
- 9 Gener's Debentures Are Rated
- 9 PowerGen U.K.'s Bonds Are Rated
- 10 ... Utility Credit Rankings

STANDARD &POOR'S

Utility Financial Targets Are Revised

Standard & Poor's has revised the four principal financial targets that it uses to analyze the credit quality of all investor-owned electric, natural gas, and water utilities in the U.S. (see table on page 3).

Standard & Poor's has created a single set of financial targets that can be applied across the different utility segments. These financial measures reflect the convergence that is occurring throughout the utility industry and the changing risk profile of the industry in general.

No rating changes will result from establishing these new financial targets since they were developed by integrating prior utility financial benchmarks and historical industrial medians. The new financial targets, like the previous benchmarks, pertain to risk-adjusted ratios that distinguish between lower-risk and higher-risk activities. The targets have been broadened to correspond with Standard & Poor's 10-point business profile assessments. The business profile scores assess the qualitative attributes of a firm, with "1" being considered lowest risk and "10" highest risk. Thus, the new targets allow for comparability on a single scale between typically lower-risk activities, such as water operations, gas distribution, and electric transmission, and higher-risk activities, such as merchant power generation, oil and gas exploration and production, and energy trading and marketing. For example, a water utility, which can expect to have a lower business risk profile than a typical integrated electric utility, will be required to meet less stringent financial targets for any given rating category.

Funds from operations to total debt, funds from operations interest coverage, pretax interest coverage, and total debt to total capital are the four credit-protection ratics that are an integral part of

Standard & Poor's quantitative review on the overall credit analysis of the utility sector. Standard & Poor's recognizes that the nature of utilities' business strategies is changing significantly and is shifting toward higher-risk endeavors. These undertakings bear risk characteristics that are more representative of an industrial company than a regulated utility. Therefore, Standard & Poor's also incorporates a greater reliance on several additional ratios in its credit analysis. These include, but are not limited to, pretax return on permanent capital, funds from operations to current obligations. earnings before interest and taxes to total assets, net cash flow to capital expenditures, and capital expenditures to average total carital. Additionally, further analysis of the cash flow coverage of all obligations (including preferred stock) is performed. Although these measures do not have published targets, broader use of these financial ratios. combined with the four principal targets, provides greater depth to the fundamental analysis used in the rating evaluation process.

Consistent with Standard & Poor's ratings methodology, the four published financial targets will be used with other quantitative measures, business risk analysis, and comparative analysis of peer groupings to determine credit ratings. The new targets are designed to assist utilities, utility affiliates, and the investment community in assessing the relative financial strength of issuers.

Ronald M. Barone New York (1) 212-438-7662 John W. Whitlock New York (1) 212-438-7678 Scott A. Beicke New York (1) 212-438-7663

(continued on page 3)



AEP/CSW Merger May Close by Year End page 2

FFO 1- 1 1 1	-1-1-1			revised offill	ty Group Fina	iciai raigeis					
FFO to total o	debt		1						1		
osition	'AA'		'A'		'BBB'		'BB'		'B'		
1	20.0	16.5	16.5	12.5	12.5	7.0	<7.0		-	-	
2	25.0	21.0	21.0	16.0	16.0	10.5	.<10.5		-	-	
3	31.5	26.0	26.0	20.0	20.0	14.0	14.0	9.5	9.5	4.0	
4	36.5	30.5	30.5	24.5	24.5	17.5	17.5	12.0	12.0	6.0	
5	40.0	33.0	33.0	27.0	27.0	20.5	20.5	15.0	15.0	7.5	
6	47.0	39.0	39.0	31.0	31.0	22.0	22.0	16.0	16.0	8.5	
7	56.0	47.0	47.0	36.5	36.5	24.5	24.5	17.0	17.0	9.5	
8	66.0	55.0	55.0	42.5	42.5	27.5	27.5	18.5	18.5	11.0	
9	-	-	64.5	49.5	49.5	32.0	32.0	22.0	22.0	12.5	
10	-	-	78.0	60.5	60.5	39.0	39.0	28.0	28.0	17.5	
FO interest	coverage										
usiness	'AA'				'BBB'		'BB'		'B'		
osition				4'				В.			
1	3.1	2.6	2.6	1.9	1.9	0.9	<0.9		-	-	
2	3.9	3.3	3.3	2.5	2.5	1.5	<1.5	4.0	- 4.0	-	
3	4.5	3.9	3.9	3.1	3.1	2.1	2.1	1.3	1.3	0.5	
4	5.1	4.5	4.5	3.8	3.8	2.7	2.7	1.8	1.8	0.9	
5	5.4	4.8	4.8	4.0	4.0	3.0	3.0	2.1	2.1	1.1	
6	6.6	5.7	5.7	4.5	4.5	3.1	3.1	2.2	2.2	1.2	
7	8.4	7.0	7.0	5.1	5.1	3.3	3.3	2.3	2.3	1.3	
8	10.2	8.3	8.3	5.9	5.9	3.5	3.5	2.4	2.4	1.5	
9	-	-	9.5	7.1	7.1	4.3	4.3	2.9	2.9	1.8	
10	-	-	11.3	8.6	8.6	5.3	5.3	3.6	3.6	2.3	
	st coverage		ı				T		1		
Business osition	'AA'		'A'		'BBB'		'BB'		'B'		
1	2.8	2.4	2.4	1.8	1.8	0.8	<0.8		-	-	
2	3.4	2.9	2.9	2.3	2.3	1.3	<1.3		-	-	
3	4.0	3.4	3.4	2.8	2.8	1.8	1.8	1.1	1.1	0.3	
4	4.6	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.3	2.2	2.2	1.3	1.3	0.5	
5	5.0	4.3	4.3	3.5	3.5	2.4	2.4	1.5	1.5	0.6	
6	6.2	5.2	5.2	4.0	4.0	2.6	2.6	1.6	1.6	0.7	
7	8.0	6.5	6.5	4.7	4.7	2.8	2.8	1.8	1.8	0.9	
•	9.9	8.0	8.0	5.5	5.5	3.0	3.0	2.0	2.0	1.1	
8	-	-	9.1	6.6	6.6	3.7	3.7	2.5	2.5	1.4	
							5.0	3.3	3.3	1.8	
9 10	-	-	11.1	8.4	8.4	5.0					
9 10	-	-	11.1	8.4	8.4	5.0	0.0			151	
9 10 Total debt to	- total capital						1		Ι		
9 10 Total debt to Business position	- total capital	AA'	'/	A'	'В	BB'	'В			3'	
9 10 Total debt to Business position	total capital	AA' 55.0	55.0	4' 60.5	'ві 60.5	вв' 67.5	'B >67.5		-	3' -	
9 10 fotal debt to dusiness osition 1 2	- total capital 'A 50.5 46.5	55.0 51.0	55.0 51.0	60.5 56.5	'BI 60.5 56.5	67.5 63.5	'B >67.5 >63.5	В'	-	-	
9 10 total debt to susiness osition 1 2 3	- total capital 	55.0 51.0 47.5	55.0 51.0 47.5	60.5 56.5 53.0	'BI 60.5 56.5 53.0	67.5 63.5 61.0	'B >67.5 >63.5 61.0	в' 67.0	- - 67.0	- - 74.0	
9 10 Total debt to Susiness sosition 1 2 3	- total capital 50.5 46.5 42.0 37.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0	60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5	'BI 60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5	67.5 63.5 61.0 57.0	'B >67.5 >63.5 61.0 57.0	67.0 64.0	- 67.0 64.0	- - 74.0 72.5	
9 10 rotal debt to susiness rosition 1 2 3 4	- total capital 50.5 46.5 42.0 37.5 36.0	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5	60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0	'BI 60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0	67.5 63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0	>67.5 >63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0	67.0 64.0 62.5	- 67.0 64.0 62.5	74.0 72.5 71.0	
9 10 fotal debt to Business position 1 2 3 4 5	- total capital 50.5 46.5 42.0 37.5 36.0 32.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5 39.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5 39.5	60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0 46.0	60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0 46.0	67.5 63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0 53.5	'8 >67.5 >63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0 53.5	67.0 64.0 62.5 60.5	- 67.0 64.0 62.5 60.5	74.0 72.5 71.0 69.0	
9 10 Total debt to Business position 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	- total capital 50.5 46.5 42.0 37.5 36.0 32.5 30.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5 39.5 37.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5 39.5 37.5	60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0 46.0 45.0	'BI 60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0 46.0 45.0	67.5 63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0 53.5 52.5	>67.5 >63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0 53.5 52.5	67.0 64.0 62.5 60.5 59.5	67.0 64.0 62.5 60.5 59.5	74.0 72.5 71.0 69.0 68.0	
9 10 Total debt to Business position 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	- total capital 50.5 46.5 42.0 37.5 36.0 32.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5 39.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5 39.5 37.5 35.0	60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0 46.0 45.0	'BI 60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0 46.0 45.0 43.0	67.5 63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0 53.5 52.5 51.5	'B >67.5 >63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0 53.5 52.5 51.5	67.0 64.0 62.5 60.5 59.5 58.0	67.0 64.0 62.5 60.5 59.5 58.0	74.0 72.5 71.0 69.0 68.0 66.0	
9 10 Total debt to Business position 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	- total capital 50.5 46.5 42.0 37.5 36.0 32.5 30.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5 39.5 37.5	55.0 51.0 47.5 43.0 41.5 39.5 37.5	60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0 46.0 45.0	'BI 60.5 56.5 53.0 49.5 47.0 46.0 45.0	67.5 63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0 53.5 52.5	>67.5 >63.5 61.0 57.0 55.0 53.5 52.5	67.0 64.0 62.5 60.5 59.5	67.0 64.0 62.5 60.5 59.5	74.0 72.5 71.0 69.0 68.0	

Source: S&P Utilities and Perspectives, June 1999

Sent electronically by John Walsh (editor) U&P (Phone:212-438-7533)