1	Q.	2004 CAPITAL EXPENDITURE STATUS REPORT
2 3		PUB 50 Note 7 Rebuild Substations - Variance: (\$105,000)
4		
5 6		Please describe the work performed at the Trepassey site, providing the total cost of this rebuild, and showing how it differs from that described in the 2004 Capital
7		Budget, Substations, Appendix 1.
8		Budget, Substations, Appendix 1.
9	A.	Newfoundland Power's 2004 Capital Budget Application included a project for the
0	,	purchase of a 2.5 MW portable diesel generation unit that the Company planned to
1		station at the Trepassey Substation. The budget also included \$145,000 in the Rebuild
2		Substations project to enlarge the Trepassey Substation to accommodate both the existing
3		portable gas turbine and the new portable diesel unit.
4		
5		In Order No. P.U. 25 (2003), the Board decided not to approve the project to purchase the
6		2.5 MW portable diesel generation unit. Consequently, the modifications to the
7		Trepassey Substation were not required, and the project was removed from
8		Newfoundland Power's 2004 construction program.
9		The service of the level of \$105,000 are set 1 in the 2004 Conite! From an literal States
20		The variance below budget of \$105,000 reported in the 2004 Capital Expenditure Status
21		Report for the Rebuild Substations project primarily reflects the removal of the Trepassey Substation project of \$145,000 from Newfoundland Power's 2004
22 23		construction program. The variance below budget is offset by other Rebuild Substations
24		projects that are projected to cost a combined \$40,000 more than anticipated in the 2004
25		Capital Budget.