| 2 | Ų. | project for 1, 2, 3, 4, or 5 years? |
|----|----|---|
| 3 | | |
| 4 | A. | For each year the Rattling Brook Refurbishment project is deferred, probability of plant |
| 5 | | failure increases. Plant failure, in turn, would result in (i) increased capital costs due to |
| 6 | | unplanned plant replacement and (ii) loss of plant availability resulting in increased |
| 7 | | energy costs. |
| 8 | | |
| 9 | | Deferral of the Rattling Brook Refurbishment project also has operating and potential |
| 10 | | public safety consequences which are outlined in the Response to PUB-3.0 NP. |
| 11 | | |
| 12 | | It is Newfoundland Power's position that deferral of the Rattling Brook Refurbishment |
| 13 | | project beyond 2007 will threaten Newfoundland Power's ability to provide least-cost |
| 14 | | energy safely to its customers. |
| | | |