

**NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES**

AN ORDER OF THE BOARD

NO. P.U. 27(2022)

1 **IN THE MATTER OF the Electrical Power**
2 **Control Act, 1994**, SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1
3 (the “**EPCA**”) and the **Public Utilities Act**,
4 RSNL 1990, Chapter P-47 (the “**Act**”), as
5 amended, and regulations thereunder; and
6

7 **IN THE MATTER OF** an application by
8 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for
9 approval of the recovery of costs associated
10 with the Business Systems Transformation
11 Program.
12
13

14 **Background**
15

16 In 2015 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro (“Hydro”) began participating in the corporate
17 Business Systems Transformation Program, a shared services program established by Nalcor
18 Energy (“Nalcor”) to address technical and functional concerns with certain processes and
19 systems not meeting the evolving needs of each of Nalcor’s subsidiary companies, including
20 Hydro. The Business Systems Transformation Program consists of three projects:

- 21 i) migration of the existing enterprise resource planning system from JD Edwards
22 World to JD Edwards EnterpriseOne;
23 ii) implementation of the planning, budgeting, and forecasting solution Cognos TM1;
24 and
25 iii) establishment and implementation of an Information Management Program.
26

27 In its 2017 general rate application Hydro proposed to recover the costs of its participation in this
28 program.¹ As part of a Settlement Agreement filed in the proceeding and approved by the Board
29 in Order No. P.U. 16(2019), these costs were removed from the revenue requirements for the
30 2018 and 2019 test years and set aside in a deferral account pending further review and the filing
31 of further information by Hydro.
32

33 On June 22, 2018 Hydro filed the *Corporate Business Systems Transformation Program*
34 *Justification Report* which set out additional information in relation to the inadequacies of

¹ The forecast costs were approximately \$2.54 million in 2018 and \$3.04 million in 2019.

1 Hydro's existing business and information management systems as well as the benefits
 2 associated with its participation in the Business Systems Transformation Program. The report also
 3 outlined the methodology for allocation of Business System Transformation Program costs to
 4 Hydro through an intercompany administration fee and the cost recovery methodology proposed
 5 by Hydro.
 6

7 In Order No. P.U. 23(2019) the Board stated that, while it was satisfied that it may be appropriate
 8 for Hydro to consider upgrades or replacement of its business and information management
 9 systems, Hydro had not shown that proposal was the least-cost solution. The Board further
 10 stated:
 11

12 In the circumstances the Board finds that recovery of these costs should not be approved
 13 at this time but that Hydro may file a further application which sets out additional evidence
 14 justifying the recovery of these costs in the context of least cost service. The Board is
 15 satisfied that the proposed deferral account should be approved so that the costs can be
 16 deferred until the issue of what costs should be recovered from ratepayers is determined
 17 by a subsequent order of the Board.²
 18

19 The Board approved the establishment of the proposed Business Systems Revenue Requirement
 20 Deferral Account beginning in January 2018 for the deferral of business system fees and
 21 information system costs associated with the Business Systems Transformation Program, with
 22 recovery and disposition to be subject to a future order of the Board.
 23

24 **Application**

25
 26 On May 25, 2022 Hydro filed an application (the "Application") requesting approval of:

- 27 (i) the methodology for allocation of costs associated with Enterprise Resource
 28 Planning like-for-like and Information Management Program implementation; and
 29 (ii) recovery of the costs associated with implementation of the Enterprise Resource
 30 Planning like-for-like and the Information Management Program through customer
 31 rates to be established in Hydro's next general rate application, including:
 32 (a) actual deferred costs of approximately \$5.5 million; and
 33 (b) forecast future costs with forecast deferred costs to the end of 2022 estimated
 34 to be approximately \$1.2 million, with costs thereafter estimated at \$6.5
 35 million.³
 36

37 The Application was copied to: Newfoundland Power Inc.; the Consumer Advocate, Dennis
 38 Browne, Q.C.; a group of Island Industrial customers: Corner Brook Pulp and Paper Limited, Braya
 39 Renewable Fuels (Newfoundland) GP Inc. (formerly known as NARL Refining Limited Partnership),
 40 Vale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited; the communities of Sheshatshiu, Happy Valley-Goose

² Order No. P.U. 23(2019), page 7.

³ Hydro anticipates filing separate applications for cost recovery associated with budgeting and forecasting software and functionality enhancements associated with the Enterprise Resource Planning system. Hydro intends to continue to defer these costs, without cost recovery, through the deferral account approved in Order No. P.U. 23(2019).

1 Bay, Wabush, and Labrador City; Iron Ore Company of Canada; Praxair Canada Inc.; and Teck
2 Resources Limited.

3

4 On June 15, 2022 the Board issued requests for information (“RFI”s) and on June 16, 2022
5 Newfoundland Power issued RFIs. Hydro submitted its responses to the RFIs on June 28, 2022.

6

7 Newfoundland Power filed comments on July 5, 2022. Hydro filed a reply submission on July 8,
8 2022.

9

10 No other RFFIs or submissions were filed.

11

12 **Application Evidence**

13

14 The Application outlined a number of reasons for the decision to proceed with the Business
15 Systems Transformation Program in 2015. The primary driver for the Enterprise Resource
16 Planning system migration was the age and technology limitations of Hydro’s existing system
17 which was nearly 20 years old and no longer adequately addressed the business and environment
18 in which Hydro operated. A second driver was the risk that, if no action was taken, there may
19 have been a period without extended support for critical components of the system before its
20 replacement was implemented as the upgrade is a multi-year project. The primary driver of the
21 information management upgrade was the 2015 Information Management Capacity Assessment
22 which indicated that Hydro was at a low level of information management maturity and at risk
23 of non-compliance with legislative requirements. This assessment identified the need for
24 additional information management resources, toolsets, policies and guidelines to provide
25 enhanced management and security of information.

26

27 Since the implementation of the Business Systems Transformation Program the program has
28 evolved to reflect changing business priorities.⁴ The program is now somewhat different than
29 was contemplated in 2018 and, as a result, Hydro’s proposals are limited to the costs associated
30 with the foundational upgrade implemented to address the business risk associated with the
31 limited functionality and usability of the system, the restricted security model, and the end of
32 support for the previous program as well as the costs of establishing and implementing an
33 Information Management Program. Costs related to the remaining scope of the Business Systems
34 Transformation Program will continue to be deferred for future recovery subject to approval of
35 a separate application.

36

37 The Application detailed the options that were evaluated by Hydro in its decision to proceed with
38 a shared services offering with Nalcor as well as the cost allocation methodology for the costs

⁴ The upgrade of the existing Enterprise Resource Planning program to EnterpriseOne was completed using a phased approach. The first phase was the migration from JD Edwards World to JD Edwards EnterpriseOne, referred to as the like-for-like implementation. Following a scope and prioritization review the scope and execution approach was changed resulting in a delay in the implementation of additional components that were intended to further enhance enterprise resource planning functionality. Implementation of the planning, budgeting and forecasting solution Cognos TM1 was paused to reassess the needs of the business.

1 charged to Hydro for its participation in the Business Systems Transformation Program and its
2 proposals with respect to recovery of the costs.

3

4 *Alternatives*

5

6 The Application stated that there were three alternatives available to Hydro to address its
7 existing business system needs: i) deferral of system upgrades; ii) execution of system upgrades
8 independent of Nalcor (i.e., a Hydro-only approach); or (iii) participation in a shared-services
9 offering with Nalcor.

10

11 The Application stated that deferral of the upgrades would have been imprudent given the
12 limitations of the existing system, technical support challenges and Hydro's potential risk
13 regarding its legislative obligations, and deferral was therefore considered a non-viable
14 alternative. The Hydro-only model was screened out from in-depth evaluation as it was not
15 considered to be a prudent option. It would involve duplicate services as Hydro would have had
16 to recruit and train its own personnel and also independently source, implement, maintain and
17 support its own information system infrastructure. The costs for a Hydro stand-alone approach
18 were estimated to be \$25.1 million over ten years.⁵ In addition preliminary assessment and
19 implementation costs would have been incurred and Hydro's return on rate base would have
20 been approximately \$5.7 million over a ten-year period.⁶

21

22 The Application also stated that having a separate Enterprise Resource Planning system would
23 isolate Hydro from the rest of the organization and would require Hydro to provide services that
24 are currently shared, such as payroll and health and safety. The sharing of these common service
25 costs among the entities within the organization has existed for an extended period of time and
26 supports Hydro's mandate of providing least-cost reliable service to customers as it reduces
27 duplication of effort between both organizations and reduces Hydro's costs. The Application
28 further stated that, in addition to the risk of system incompatibility, the increased costs
29 associated with providing the currently shared-services would be counter to Hydro's legislative
30 obligation to provide service at the lowest possible cost consistent with reliable service.

31

32 Participation in a shared-service offering was stated to be the only reasonable option given the
33 complex nature of Hydro's business, its integrated nature within the broader structure of the
34 organization, the costs and business risk associated with transitioning to and maintaining
35 separate business and information management systems, and its legislative mandate to provide
36 service at the lowest possible cost consistent with reliability. By participating in the Business
37 Systems Transformation Program, the Application stated that Hydro was able to avail of
38 economies of scale and reduce costs for its customers by incurring only a portion of the overall
39 costs, avoiding the preliminary assessment costs and a return on rate base on the assets. The
40 Application asserted that, based on the conclusion that Hydro likely would have adopted a similar

⁵ The system compared was JD Edwards EnterpriseOne.

⁶ Preliminary assessment costs included interviews, requirements gathering and analysis, development of business cases, presentations and RFPs. These costs were not charged to Hydro and they were not included for approval in the Application.

1 solution and product as Nalcor, the shared-services approach was the least-cost alternative for
2 Hydro.

3

4 *Cost Allocation Methodology*

5

6 The acquisition and implementation costs related to Enterprise Resource Planning like-for-like
7 system and the Information Management Program are incurred by Nalcor and Hydro's portion
8 of the costs are charged as operating costs through intercompany administration fees. The costs
9 associated with the Enterprise Resource Planning like-for-like system and the Information
10 Management Program implementation up to the end of 2021 are \$22.6 million (\$21.6 million and
11 \$1.0 million, respectively). Hydro's allocated portion of the \$22.6 million was determined to be
12 \$13.2 million based on Hydro's cost allocation methodology and Nalcor's *Intercompany*
13 *Transactions Costing Guidelines*. The Application noted that the allocation methods for
14 information systems and other administrative fees were reviewed by the Board's experts during
15 Hydro's 2013 general rate application and were found to be reasonable.

16

17 Business Systems Transformation Program costs that meet the capitalization criteria are
18 allocated to Hydro on a pro-rata basis through the intercompany fees. The pro-rata sharing is
19 based on the average of two ratios: Hydro's share of overall JD Edwards users and Hydro's share
20 of overall full-time equivalents (FTEs), both in relation to Nalcor and its subsidiaries. The
21 Application stated that the only exception to the pro-rata process is when a capital cost is
22 incurred for the benefit of Hydro only; the costs are charged solely to Hydro and not allocated to
23 Nalcor and its subsidiaries. Business Systems Transformation Program costs that are ineligible for
24 capitalization are allocated in the same manner as capital costs.

25

26 *Cost Recovery*

27

28 The Application requests approval of the deferred costs associated with the implementation of
29 the Enterprise Resource Planning like-for-like system and the Information Management Program
30 for recovery from customers through rates to be established through Hydro's next general rate
31 application. A total of \$5.5 million has been deferred by Hydro to the end of December 2021 as
32 part of the Business Systems Fee.⁷ An additional \$1.2 million is forecast for 2022.⁸ Approval is
33 also requested for recovery of forecast costs for 2023-2029 estimated to be \$6.5 million.⁹

34

35 **Submissions**

36

37 Newfoundland Power stated that it did not object to Hydro's proposed recovery of costs.
38 Newfoundland Power noted that the Board has accepted that a high level of integration in
39 Hydro's business systems may be required in the circumstances. Newfoundland Power also noted
40 that Hydro provided an analysis of the selected system from a Hydro-only perspective, which

⁷ This amount includes \$4.0 million for the Enterprise Resource Planning like-for-like component, \$1.1 million for Utiligy360 and \$0.4 million for the IM component (Table 5, page 15).

⁸ Forecast amounts for 2022 include \$0.9 million for the Enterprise Resource Planning like-for-like component and \$0.3 million for Utiligy360 (Table 5, page 15).

⁹ This amount includes \$1.2 million in each year for 2023-2027 and \$0.4 million in 2028 (Table 6, page 16).

1 showed that this alternative would result in additional costs to customers.¹⁰ Newfoundland
2 Power commented that this analysis was limited as it does not assess the cost of alternative
3 solutions that may have been available to meet Hydro's requirements independent of those of
4 Nalcor. Newfoundland Power acknowledged that the unique terms of the shared-services
5 approach, along with the passage of time, would practically limit any comparative analysis
6 undertaken by Hydro at this time. Newfoundland Power also noted the ongoing changes in
7 Hydro's organizational structure as a result of Nalcor operations moving under Hydro and Hydro's
8 statement that future changes to the organizational structure would not be expected to result in
9 a material impact on the costs allocated to Hydro.¹¹

10
11 With respect to future applications for cost recovery of Enterprise Resource Planning
12 functionality enhancements, Newfoundland Power submitted that the evidence filed should
13 demonstrate how the benefits to customers outweigh the associated costs. Furthermore, in
14 Newfoundland Power's view, it may be appropriate for the Board to reconsider whether future
15 capital investments related to other services shared between Hydro and Nalcor should be
16 reviewed via the normal capital approval process to ensure alternatives are appropriately
17 assessed prior to costs being incurred and proposed for recovery from customers.

18
19 In its reply submission Hydro reiterated that the passage of time prevented it from reasonably
20 being able to source reasonably comparable information for a Hydro-only solution.¹² Hydro noted
21 that its business requirements for an Enterprise Resource Planning system, budgeting and
22 forecasting system, and information management were directly comparable to Nalcor's
23 requirements. Based on this, as well as the existing integration with Nalcor and the shared-
24 services model, Hydro stated that a Hydro-only option was not in the best interest of its
25 customers and the shared-service model reduces duplication of effort between both
26 organizations. According to Hydro, if a stand-alone Enterprise Resource Planning system for its
27 regulated operations had been pursued, customers would have paid for the whole of that cost,
28 including the preliminary assessment fees and the return on rate base on those assets.

29
30 With respect to Newfoundland Power's recommendation on future capital investments related
31 to shared-services, Hydro submitted that the ownership of the asset should determine the
32 method by which cost recovery of shared-services initiatives are requested from the Board,
33 whether through inclusion in a future capital budget application or through review of operating
34 expenses. Hydro's position is that the Board can perform a full and proper regulatory review of
35 the costs related to any future shared-services initiatives regardless of the approach to cost
36 recovery. Hydro noted that, in Order No. P.U. 23(2019), the Board stated that it was satisfied that
37 the costs can be fully reviewed and tested in this process.

¹⁰ PUB-NLH-004.

¹¹ NP-NLH-003.

¹² PUB-NLH-004.

1 **Board Findings**

2

3 The Application requests approval of the methodology for allocation of costs associated with the
4 Enterprise Resource Planning like-for-like and Information Management Program and the
5 recovery of these costs through customer rates to be established in Hydro's next general rate
6 application. The costs include actual deferred costs of approximately \$5.5 million as well as
7 forecast future costs, with forecast costs to the end of 2022 estimated to be approximately \$1.2
8 million and costs thereafter estimated at \$6.5 million.

9

10 In considering the Application the Board must assess whether Hydro has demonstrated that the
11 costs incurred for its participation in the Business Systems Transformation Program are
12 consistent with least-cost service and should be recovered from ratepayers through rates to be
13 set in the next general rate application. In Order No. P.U. 23(2019) the Board agreed that it was
14 reasonable for Hydro to consider upgrading or replacing its existing business and information
15 management systems but found that Hydro had not provided evidence as to whether there was
16 a Hydro-only solution that was feasible and cost-effective. The Board concluded that Hydro had
17 not shown that its participation in Nalcor's Business Systems Transformation Program was the
18 least-cost solution and that, in light of the planned phased approach and the delay of the
19 implementation of the Information Management Program, it was expected that with more time
20 Hydro would be able to better quantify the savings associated with its proposed approach. The
21 Board notes that, since that time, the additional functionality enhancements have been delayed
22 and that the cost savings identified in 2018 were based on full implementation of all three
23 components of the Business Systems Transformation Program and were related primarily to
24 additional functionality enhancements and business process changes related to technology
25 improvements not yet implemented.¹³

26

27 Given that four years has passed since the Business Systems Transformation Program evaluation,
28 Hydro was not able to provide information that was reasonably comparable to the information
29 obtained in 2015 for the full Business Systems Transformation Program scope across all Nalcor
30 entities.¹⁴ Hydro did provide an internal analysis of the business case which showed that a stand-
31 alone approach would have cost approximately \$25 million over ten years, compared to \$14.3
32 million allocated to Hydro over the same ten-year period for its share of the Business Systems
33 Transformation Program led by Nalcor.¹⁵ The Board shares the concerns expressed by
34 Newfoundland Power in regards to the limited applicability of this analysis as it does not assess
35 the cost of alternative solutions that may have been available to meet Hydro's requirements
36 independent of those of Nalcor. Despite this the Board acknowledges the practical limitations
37 that Hydro faced in obtaining information with respect to alternatives that might have been
38 available at the time that the project began several years prior. In the absence of this information
39 the Board accepts that the analysis which Hydro was able to do shows that Hydro's costs in a
40 stand-alone model would likely have been much higher than with the shared-services approach.
41 The Board notes that there would also have been additional costs associated with the preliminary

¹³ PUB-NLH-002.

¹⁴ PUB-NLH-004.

¹⁵ Application, Table 1, page 8.

1 assessment and implementation and Hydro's return on rate base on the asset. The Board is of
2 the view that the shared-services approach is preferable to a stand-alone model, considering the
3 sharing of information required in the context of the corporate structure of Nalcor and Hydro. In
4 the circumstances the Board is satisfied that the shared-services approach for the Enterprise
5 Resource Planning and the Information Management Program through the Business Systems
6 Transformation Program led by Nalcor is reasonable and consistent with the provision of least-
7 cost service.

8
9 The costs associated with Hydro's participation in the Business Systems Transformation Program
10 are recovered through a Business Systems Fee and Information Systems Fee charged to Hydro by
11 Nalcor on a monthly basis. The Application sets out the cost allocation methodology used and
12 includes details on the allocation of capital and non-capital costs as well as program management
13 costs included in the Business Systems Fee and the methodology used to determine the
14 Information Systems Fee.¹⁶ The cost allocation methods for common services were reviewed by
15 the Board's experts during Hydro's 2013 Amended General Rate Application and found to be
16 reasonable.¹⁷ The Board notes that there were no specific concerns raised in this Application with
17 respect to the cost allocation methodology. The Board accepts the cost allocation methodology
18 used in calculating Hydro's costs is reasonable in the circumstances.

19
20 Given that the shared services approach and the cost allocation methodology have been found
21 to be reasonable in the circumstances the Board is satisfied that the recovery of the actual
22 deferred Enterprise Resource Planning like-for-like and Information Management Program costs
23 of \$5.5 million through customer rates flowing from Hydro's next general rate application is
24 reasonable in the circumstances. Similarly the Board is satisfied that forecast deferred costs to
25 the end of 2022 associated with the Enterprise Resource Planning like-for-like implementation
26 and the Information Management Program implementation of approximately \$1.2 million should
27 be recovered through customer rates flowing from Hydro's next general rate application. The
28 Board notes that Hydro is not requesting approval of recovery of any costs associated with the
29 implementation of the enhancements at this time, including the \$1 million already deferred by
30 Hydro, due to uncertainty regarding scope and future costs.¹⁸ These costs will continue to be
31 deferred until an application is filed by Hydro for recovery of costs.

32
33 With respect to forecast future costs for the 2023 to 2029 period, estimated to be \$6.5 million,
34 the Board is not satisfied that recovery of these costs in rates to be established at Hydro's next
35 general rate application should be approved at this time. Given the ongoing uncertainties with
36 respect to the timing of the filing of Hydro's next general rate application and the test years which
37 will be reflected in this application and considering the potential impact of organizational
38 changes on the shared services model and the cost allocation methodology, the Board believes

¹⁶ Application, Schedule 2.

¹⁷ Brad Rolph, Grant Thornton. *Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro - Evaluating the Pricing Policy for Affiliate Common Services, Common Expenses and Corporate Services*.
<http://www.pub.nl.ca/applications/ARCHIVE/NLH2013GRA-Amended/files/reports/PUB-Expert-Report-Brad-Rolph-2015-06-01.pdf>

¹⁸ PUB-NLH-006.

1 that the recovery of the forecast costs beyond 2022 should be addressed as part of Hydro's next
 2 general rate application proceeding. Until then Hydro can continue to defer these costs.

3
 4 The Board agrees with Newfoundland Power's position that future applications for cost recovery
 5 of Enterprise Resource Planning functionality enhancements should demonstrate how the
 6 benefits to customers of the enhancement outweighs the associated costs. In regards to
 7 Newfoundland Power's suggestion with respect to the review of future capital investments
 8 related to other services shared between Hydro and Nalcor, the Board agrees with Hydro that
 9 ownership of the asset should determine the method by which cost recovery of shared-services
 10 initiatives are reviewed. The Board's regulatory processes with respect to capital expenditures
 11 and operating expenses allow for a full and appropriate review to ensure that only costs
 12 necessary for the provision of reliable and adequate service are recovered from customers.

13
 14

15 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:**

16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22

1. The recovery of actual and forecast deferred costs up to the end of 2022 associated with the Enterprise Resource Planning like-for like implementation and the Information Management Program, estimated to be \$6.7 million, through customer rates to be established in Hydro's next general rate application is approved.
2. Hydro shall pay all expenses of the Board arising from this Application.

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, this 22nd day of August 2022.



Darlene Whalen, P. Eng., FEC
 Chair and Chief Executive Officer



Dwanda Newman, LL.B.
 Vice-Chair



Cheryl Blundon
 Board Secretary