Q. Is it possible to amend the agreement, and what factors would be considered, to allow for a delay in the finalization of the agreement and resulting application until the pole count survey has been completed?

A. A. Amendment of the Purchase Agreement

 Any amendment to the Purchase Agreement requires the written consent of both Bell Aliant and Newfoundland Power. Newfoundland Power has not addressed the matter of the terms, if any, upon which Bell Aliant might be prepared to consent to the delay indicated.

The consequences of the delay indicated are addressed in the Response to Request for Information PUB-NP-6.

A primary factor which would be considered by Newfoundland Power as result of delayed approval by the Board would be addressing the financial and operational uncertainty which would result. As part of the negotiation of the terms of the new Joint Use regime, Newfoundland Power and Bell Aliant agreed that if Board approval is not obtained by June 30, 2011, then either may terminate the Purchase Agreement. This, amongst other things, permits either, or both, Bell Aliant and Newfoundland Power to renegotiate the terms of Joint Use of Support Structures including those applicable for 2011.

It bears observation that Newfoundland Power and Bell Aliant *agreed* to payment of the sale price in advance of the confirmation of field data which will be provided by the pole count survey. This reflects the parties' consensus that the pole count survey will not affect the agreed fundamental cost sharing arrangements in any material way. It also reflects the longstanding use of estimates in Joint Use matters. This approach is similar to that taken when Newfoundland Power purchased Joint Use Support Structures from Aliant Telecom in 2001. In 2001, the number and location of Joint Use Support Structures purchased by Newfoundland Power from Aliant Telecom was essentially based upon estimates agreed between the parties.

B. Regulatory Considerations

Section 53 of the *Public Utilities Act* (the "Act") provides that the Board may establish the terms, conditions and compensation for Joint Use of Support Structures "....in case of failure to agree..." on such matter. In addition, under the Act the Board has general supervision over Newfoundland Power's operations.

In Newfoundland Power's view, delay in the Board's consideration of the application until the number and location of the Joint Use Support Structures have been clearly determined is not warranted on the record of this application.

There is (and has historically been) a degree of imprecision associated with the specific location and attributes of individual utility Support Structures in the field. The pole count survey will serve to reduce this somewhat.

1 But the results of the pole count survey will not affect: 2 3 (i) the fundamental cost-sharing and access arrangements agreed between 4 Newfoundland Power and Bell Aliant which are consistent with current Canadian 5 utility practice; 6 7 (ii) the essential relief sought by Newfoundland Power in the application which is the 8 approval of the Board to sell 40% of Joint Use Support Structures to Bell Aliant; 9 and 10 11 (iii) the service provided by Newfoundland Power to its customers or rights of access 12 enjoyed by owners of third party attachments to Joint Use Support Structures. 13 14 Newfoundland Power respects that the Board has general supervision over its operations. 15 Should the Board wish to keep itself informed in relation to the results of the pole count 16 survey, it can require Newfoundland Power to report on the matter. 17 18 Such an approach would be consistent with the Board's oversight of capital expenditure. 19 Each year the Board approves annual expenditures in advance of construction. This 20 approval covers construction each year of thousands of Support Structures without details as to the number and location of those Support Structures. As a condition of the annual 21 22 approval, however, the Board routinely requires Newfoundland Power to report on 23 material variances.