Т	Ų.	in the live-year plan of Hydro's 2012 Capital Budget, Hydro forecast the 2013		
2		Capital Budget to be \$121,369,000, while the current 2013 Capital Budget		
3		Application requests approval of \$66,144,800. Please provide a reconciliation of		
4		these two forecasts including an explanation of why the priority of each delayed or		
5		accelerated project has changed.		
6				
7	A.	In general terms, the primary drivers of the changes are as follows:		
8		Project scope and schedule optimization resulting from analysis of updated		
9		asset condition data and refinements in system growth requirements; and		
10		• Further refinement of cost forecasts as project definition increases.		
11				
12		Please see PUB-NLH-5 Attachment 1 which compares the 2013 estimates as		
13		submitted for the 2013 Capital Budget application with the 2013 estimates from the		
14		five year plan provided with the 2012 Capital Budget application. Below is an		
15		explanation of main differences where the variances were greater than \$1 million		
16		by category.		
17				
18		<u>Hydraulic Plant</u>		
19		The increase in this category is due mainly to a \$1 million increase to replace static		
20		excitation system. The overall project cost remains unchanged; however, there has		
21		been a shift of expenditures driven by a reschedule of work to address recent		
22		operational failures. The overhaul of the turbine/generator units for Bay d'Espoir		
23		for \$0.4 million was not previously included in estimates for 2013 as submitted in		
24		the 2012 Capital Budget application.		

Thermal Plant

The decrease in the budgeted amount for Holyrood is due to projects moving to later years, or removal from the five year plan window. These include the refurbishment of the fuel storage facility (\$3 million), the installation of the plant operator training simulator (\$1 million), the shifting of the variable speed drives from one year to a two year project (\$1.2 million) and the moving of facility upgrade projects to later years (\$3.5 million). The fuel storage facility refurbishment project is deferred one year based upon a review of asset condition and risk. The training simulator was not approved by the Board in 2012; hence the 2013 component of this project was subsequently removed. The variable speed drives project was split into two years from one to accommodate refinements in project lead times and schedule constraints. Facility upgrades were deferred as a result of updated asset condition information.

Gas Turbines

Because the Stephenville Gas Turbine had a failure, the planned work for 2013 has been moved to 2012 (\$1.4 million). The Holyrood Gas Turbine is not presently operational, therefore projects related to it are also omitted (\$1 million). The upgrade to the Gas Turbine programmable logic controllers for Happy Valley has been switched from a one year to a two year project (\$1.7 million) to account for lead times within the project.

Terminal Stations

The 2013 Capital Budget application does not include the additional transformer for Oxen Pond (\$3.4 million). This project is under review at present. The installation of the 20 MVAR reactor for Bottom Brook has been moved to 2014 (\$1 million). This project has been deferred pending a decision on the addition of the Maritime-Island

Page 3 of 3

1 HVdc Transmission Link to Nova Scotia which would subsequently make this reactor 2 unnecessary. 3 Transmission 4 5 The upgrade of the transmission line corridor from Bay d'Espoir to Western Avalon 6 has been shifted from 2012 to 2014 (\$30.1 million). Projects to upgrade access trails 7 and install transmission offloading ramps have been removed (\$1.2 million). The 8 wood pole line management program is less than budgeted in the 2012 Capital 9 Budget application (\$1.2 million) due to an increase in pole survival rates resulting 10 from the program. The upgrade of angle structures on line TL-259 has been moved to 2014 (\$0.7 million) to allow time to perform additional condition inspection and 11 12 further refine the scope of work. 13 14 **Properties** 15 A project to replace the warehouse in Bay d'Espoir has been moved from 2013 to 16 2017 (\$1.1 million) based on an updated asset condition assessment and pending 17 the outcome of an overall site facilities needs assessment. 18 19 **Transportation** 20 The budget for replacing vehicles and aerial devices has been reduced (\$1.6 million) 21 due to reduced unit pricing of vehicles in the marketplace. 22 23 <u>Administration</u> The budget for upgrading the systems and exterior of Hydro place has been moved 24 25 to 2015 and 2016 (\$1.9 million) as a result of updated asset condition information.

Capital Budget: Summary by Category

	2013 from 2013 Capital Budget Application	2013 from 2012 Capital Budget Application ¹	Variance
<u>GENERATION</u>			
Hydraulic Plant	12,903.0	11,423.7	1,479.3
Thermal Plant	9,831.2	18,445.3	(8,614.1)
Gas Turbines	61.4	4,344.3	(4,282.9)
Tools and Equipment	93.3	163.9	(70.6)
TOTAL GENERATION	22,888.9	34,377.2	(11,488.3)
TRANSMISSION AND RURAL OPERATIONS			
Terminal Stations	8,164.4	12,695.4	(4,531.0)
Transmission	2,816.8	36,498.0	(33,681.2)
Distribution	15,737.1	14,947.1	790.0
Generation	3,409.0	4,252.0	(843.0)
Properties	1,033.6	2,340.2	(1,306.6)
Metering	1,077.6	962.1	115.5
Tools and Equipment	1,814.2	2,692.0	(877.8)
TOTAL TRANSMISSION AND RURAL OPERATIONS	34,052.7	74,386.8	(40,334.1)
GENERAL PROPERTIES			
Information Systems	2,799.4	2,922.1	(122.7)
Telecontrol	2,070.2	1,987.6	82.6
Transportation	2,521.1	4,116.0	(1,594.9)
Administrative	812.5	2,580.1	(1,767.6)
TOTAL GENERAL PROPERTIES	8,203.2	11,605.8	(3,402.6)
ALLOWANCE FOR UNFORESEEN EVENTS	1,000.0	1,000.0	0.0
TOTAL CAPITAL BUDGET	66,144.8	121,369.8	(55,225.0)
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

¹ Major Overhauls and inspections have been incorporated into their applicable category. They were separately identified in the 2012 Capital Budget Application.