1	Q.	(Re: 2016 Standby Fuel Deferral Application, February 5, 2016 Report, Appendix B)
2		Please provide a detailed comparison of the Energy Supply Cost Variance Account
3		("ESCVA") proposed in the Amended 2013 General Rate Application and the
4		deferral account documented in Appendix B.
5		
6		
7	A.	The ESCVA, as proposed in the Amended 2013 General Rate Application, would
8		capture variances from the 2015 Test Year in both price and volume from the
9		following sources (A-B):
10		 Power purchases from wind generation, specifically;
11		o St. Lawrence; and
12		o Fermeuse.
13		 Power purchases from Corner Brook Pulp and Paper cogeneration;
14		 Power purchases from hydraulic generation, specifically;
15		 Nalcor Exploits;
16		o Star Lake; and
17		o Rattle Brook.
18		 Diesel generation, specifically;
19		 St. Anthony; and
20		o Hawkes Bay.
21		Gas Turbine generation, specifically;
22		 Stephenville GT;
23		o Hardwoods GT; and
24		o Holyrood CT.

1	This variance is offset by the costs or savings of avoided Holyrood TGS energy
2	production, as calculated in Part C of the ESCVA. Any variance in the account is
3	proposed to be subject to a ±\$500,000 dead band which would accrue to Hydro
4	before any amounts are recovered from or paid back to customers.
5	
6	The 2016 Standby Fuel Deferral, as proposed in the Application, would capture
7	variances from the 2015 Test Year in both price and volume for the following
8	sources (A):
9	 Holyrood Combustion Turbine;
10	 Hardwoods Gas Turbine;
11	Stephenville Gas Turbine;
12	St. Anthony Diesel Plant;
13	Hawkes Bay Diesel Plant;
14	Holyrood Blackstart Diesels; and
15	Purchases from Newfoundland Power Thermal.
16	
17	It would also capture volume variances only, associated with hydraulic power
18	purchases from the following sources (B):
19	Nalcor Exploits;
20	Star Lake; and
21	Rattle Brook.
22	
23	These variances are offset by the costs or savings of avoided Holyrood TGS energy
24	production, as calculated in Part C of the 2016 Standby Fuel Deferral.