

1 Q. Further to Exhibit 101, p. 25 referred to in PUB-Nalcor 106, what are the estimated
2 average energy costs in 2010 \$/MWh, at the busbar, for each of the Muskrat Falls
3 and the Gull Island developments.

4
5
6 A. Exhibit 101, page 25 states:

7
8 *“As the Island requirements represent a much lower proportion of the Gull Island*
9 *output and in the absence of confirmed export transmission via Quebec or new,*
10 *large industrial load in Labrador, the financial returns for the Gull Island project*
11 *selling only to the Island would be unacceptably low and the project would likely not*
12 *be supported in capital markets. In order to provide the same rate of return as*
13 *projected for the Muskrat Falls project in the DG2 decision, the purchase price for*
14 *power from Gull Island would have to be approximately 60 percent higher than*
15 *power from Muskrat Falls.”*

16
17 Based on sales to the Island only, the busbar price for Muskrat Falls that returns an
18 8.4% internal rate of return is approximately \$76 /MWh (2010\$) escalating at 2%
19 per year (see MHI-Nalcor 58 (h)).

20
21 Using the above methodology, the busbar price for Gull Island that returns an 8.4%
22 internal rate of return selling only to the Island is approximately \$122 /MWh
23 (2010\$) escalating at 2% per year.