

1 Q. On pg. 2 of the Report referred to in PUB-Nalcor-151 it is stated, *“Given that the*
2 *Lower Churchill Project has yet to receive final project sanction, analysis of the Bay*
3 *d’Espoir East 230 kV transmission system must consider both the continued Isolated*
4 *Island Scenario and the Labrador Infeed Scenario. In effect, the proposed project*
5 *must be appropriate to either an Isolated Island or Labrador-Interconnected future.”*

6 Does this mean that any issues identified with the Bay d’Espoir East 230kV
7 transmission system for the Isolated Island Scenario must have a solution that can
8 be applied to correct issues identified under the Labrador Infeed Scenario?

9

10

11 A. The above statement means that the analysis undertaken must consider that a final
12 decision to implement the Interconnected Island or Isolated Island alternative is yet
13 to be made.

14

15 While it would not be necessary for the preferred solution for the current Isolated
16 Island scenario to also be the preferred solution in an Interconnected Island
17 scenario, such a situation would be desirable as it would either:

18

19 a) Avoid deferring implementation until after a decision to implement the
20 Interconnected or Isolated Island alternative, or

21 b) Avoid rework or additional investments if upgrades had to be implemented for
22 one scenario, and the scenario subsequently changed.