

1 Q. Has a cost benefit analysis been completed to compare the alternatives of lower
2 sulphur No. 6 fuel versus the installation of electrostatic precipitations, scrubbers
3 and No_x burners? If so, please provide a copy of the analysis. If not, why not?
4
5

6 A. Nalcor has not completed a cost-benefit analysis to compare the alternative of
7 using lower sulphur No. 6 fuel (lower than the currently prescribed 0.7% S content)
8 versus the installation of electrostatic precipitators and scrubbers.

9 The Province's Energy Plan states that should the Labrador Interconnection not
10 proceed and the Holyrood plant continue to operate, installation of scrubbers and
11 electrostatic precipitators is required.

12 Nalcor has constructed all of its generation expansion scenarios to comply with
13 legislation and public policy direction. In this context, policy statements contained
14 in the *Energy Plan* have been treated by Nalcor as a policy direction from the
15 Government of Newfoundland and Labrador.

16 Neither lower sulphur fuel nor electrostatic precipitators and scrubbers have any
17 impact on No_x emissions. These emissions are addressed through the installation of
18 low No_x burners.