

1 Q. **Re: B-68, Condition Assessment & Life Extension Phase 2 - \$1,215,700 in 2012**

2 On page B-68 of Volume I, Tab B, Hydro states that this is a three year project, and
3 on page 15 of the report *Condition Assessment and Life Extension*, Volume II, Tab
4 25, Hydro provides budget estimates for 2013 and future years. Please clarify:

- 5 i) Why has Hydro only sought approval of expenditures in 2012?
6 ii) Will a report be prepared at the conclusion of the 2012 work so that the
7 recommendations can be implemented?
8 iii) In relation to Hydro's plan to request proposals in each and every year of
9 this project, as set out at page 16, is it practical for a firm other than AMEC
10 to do any aspect of Phase 2 not having completed Phase 1?
11
12

13 A. i) Hydro only sought approval of expenditures in 2012 because the actual cost
14 of the work to be completed in 2012 will provide the best information in
15 preparing the most accurate cost estimates for future years.
16

17 ii) Yes, a report will be prepared at the conclusion of the 2012 work so that the
18 recommendations can be considered.
19

20 ii) Yes, it is practical for a firm other than AMEC to do some aspects of Phase 2
21 not having completed Phase 1. For example, it is anticipated that the work
22 associated with boiler inspection and testing will be performed by Hydro's
23 service contractor for the boilers who have professional engineers on staff
24 and are normally most knowledgeable on the internals of the boilers and
25 their original design details.