1	Q.	Reference: Reliability and Resource Adequacy Study, Technical Conference #3 Presentation,
2		June 2021, slide 95.

	MW			
LIL Mode of Operation	Muskrat Falls	Losses	NS Block	Hydro Delivery
Bipole	900	70	158	672
Monopole with Continuous Overload	675	120	105	450
Monopole Metallic Return	450	65	80	305

3 In the format provided below, and using experience gained during the 2021 winter failures, 4 please provide: i) the expected steady-state LIL mode of operation for each scenario listed; ii) a 5 range of duration of all work required for repair (including identification of the issue and access to the location) from the moment damage occurs; iii) the maximum safe power transfer 6 7 allowable while repair activities are ongoing; and iv) the estimated maximum supply deficit 8 should the scenario occur at the maximum forecasted peak used in the development of Figure 5 9 in the *Reliability and Resource Adequacy Study – 2019 Update,* November 15, 2019, Volume III: 10 Long-Term Resource Plan, Section 7.2.6, assuming HTGS, SGT and HGT are decommissioned as planned. Please also assume that imports on the Maritime Link are unavailable. 11

	LIL Mode of Operation	Repair duration	LIL Mode of Operation	Maximum Supply deficit at
Scenario	(steady state)	(range)	(During repair)	peak (MW)
Electrode cross arm failure				-
Electrode conductor break				
Pole conductor break				
Double electrode conductor break				
Single pole conductor and single electrode conductor break				
Single tower failure				
Multiple tower failure				
Ice removal activities – helicopter with insulated rod				

12

	LIL Mode of	Repair		Maximum
	Operation	duration	LIL Mode of Operation	Supply deficit at
Scenario	(steady state)	(range)	(During repair)	peak (MW)
Electrode cross arm	Bipole mode with	Under	Bipole mode at full transfer	N/A
failure	Single Electrode	review.	capability (900 MW)	
	Cable	Please see		
Electrode conductor	Bipole mode with	note	Monopole Earth Return	0 - 105 MW
break	Single Electrode	below.	with Single Electrode	
	Cable		Cable; power transfer	
			reduced (446 MW to 674	
			MW depending on ambient	
			temperatures)	
Pole conductor break	Monopole mode	-	Monopole Earth Return;	0 MW
	with Earth		power transfer reduced	
	Return	_	(675 MW)	
Double electrode	Bipole mode		Bipole Outage; no power	426 MW
conductor break			transfer until first electrode	
			conductor returned to	
		_	service	
Single pole conductor	Monopole mode		Monopole Earth Return	0 - 105 MW
and single electrode	with Earth		with Single Electrode Cable	
conductor break	Return with		@ 446 MWs to 674 MWs	
	Single Electrode		depending on ambient	
	Cable		temperatures	
Single tower failure	Not Operating	-	Bipole Outage; no power	426 MW
			transfer	
Multiple tower failure	Not Operating	-	Bipole Outage; no power	426 MW
		_	transfer	
Ice removal activities –	Bipole mode		Bipole mode at full transfer	N/A
helicopter with insulated			capability (900 MW)	
rod				

The identified maximum shortfall above indicates the amount of load that would not be served
in the peak hour while maintaining an operating reserve of 70 MW on the Island Interconnected

4 System.

5	Please note that while the electrode conductor break requires operation in monopole mode to
6	undertake the repair, the Labrador-Island Link ("LIL") remains capable of full power transfer
7	capabilities at 900 MW until the repair work begins. Similarly, while the double electrode

1	conductor repair would require a bipole outage to complete a portion of the required repair
2	work, the LIL can continue to operate in bipole mode with station ground return with full power
3	transfer capability until the repair work begins. The LIL will then remain on a bipole outage until
4	the first electrode conductor is repaired, following which the LIL can then operate in bipole
5	mode with a single electrode and would be capable of full power transfer. Since the LIL remains
6	capable of full power transfer until the repairs begin, Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro would
7	plan to repair the broken electrode cable in full consideration of existing system conditions and
8	based on the associated system risk, plan to undertake the repairs when possible while
9	minimizing the potential impact to customers.

- 10 Finally, the repair duration remains under review as part of the update of the emergency
- 11 response plan, expected to be filed in the fourth quarter of 2021. This review will consider the
- 12 lessons learned from the repairs that occurred this past winter.