

1 Q. **Reference: Volume I, pages 4.8, 4.11 and 4.14, Muskrat Falls Project Operating and Sustaining**
2 **Capital Costs**

3 Hydro states that operating costs and sustaining capital costs associated with the Muskrat Falls
4 Hydroelectric Generating Facility, the Labrador Transmission Assets and the Transmission
5 Funding Agreement are budgeted by Hydro with the same level of prudence and scrutiny as the
6 regulated operating and capital costs.

7 a) Explain the budgeting and approval process for the operating and sustaining capital
8 costs, including the role of Hydro Regulated in the process.

9 b) Were there any changes in the budgeting and approval process as a result of the
10 amalgamation? Explain.

11 c) Is there a productivity allowance included in the Muskrat Falls Project operating costs? If
12 so, how much? If not, explain why this would not be considered in the budgeting
13 process.

14
15
16 A. a) Please refer to Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's ("Hydro") response to PUB-NLH-010
17 and CA-NLH-049 of this proceeding for the budgeting and approval process related to
18 operating costs and sustaining capital. Costs related to the Muskrat Falls Hydroelectric
19 Generating Facility, the Labrador Transmission Assets and the Labrador-Island Link follow
20 the same budgeting and approval processes as Hydro with additional opportunities of
21 review provided to the Joint Operations Committees, the Collateral Agent and the
22 Government of Canada.

23 b) No, there were no changes in Hydro's budgeting and approval process as a result of the legal
24 amalgamation of Nalcor Energy and Hydro on January 1, 2025.

25 c) As part of our budget process, Hydro has included productivity savings of \$2.7 million in the
26 Muskrat Falls Project operating costs.