> Q. Page 1-7, lines 20-24: In Order No. P.U. 18(2016) at page 19, lines $26-33$ the Board found that Newfoundland Power was an average risk utility. Please describe in detail how from the company's perspective risks have increased for Newfoundland Power and its customers since 2016 associated with the commissioning of the Muskrat Falls Project and the provincial economy so that it now would be considered to have above-average business risk. In the response include how any increased risk since 2016 can be determined both qualitatively and quantitatively.

## A. A. General

In Order No. P.U. 18 (2016), the Board recognized that the Muskrat Falls Project and a deteriorating economy have an impact on Newfoundland Power's business risk. In Order No. P.U. 18 (2016) the Board states:

> "The Board accepts that the risks associated with Muskrat Falls, both in terms of supply and costs, are real and may have an impact on Newfoundland Power's business risk. In addition the Board accepts that the economic indicators for the test period are not strong and that this could also have an impact on Newfoundland Power's business risk." 1

Since the Board's determination that Newfoundland Power was an average risk utility in 2016, the Company's business risk has increased. The primary contributors to Newfoundland Power's riskier outlook since that time are (i) a deteriorating outlook for the provincial economy, and (ii) increased costs related to Muskrat Falls.

## B. Provincial Economic Outlook

The economy of Newfoundland Power's service territory affects the Company’s business risk. Since 2016, the economic outlook for the province has declined. A comparison of the Provinces forecast key economic indicators from the Conference Board of Canada's Provincial Outlook 2016 compared to the Conference Board of Canada’s Provincial Outlook 2018 provides a useful comparison of the Company's increased risk. ${ }^{2}$

The Province's employment outlook is worse in 2018 than it was in 2016. Table 1 shows the employment outlook of 2016 compared to the more recent 2018 employment outlook.

[^0]Table 1
Employment Outlook (000s) ${ }^{3}$
2018 to 2022

| Employment | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Outlook | 219 | 218 | 215 | 213 | 212 |
| 2016 Outlook | 233 | 233 | 231 | 230 | 231 |
| Difference | $(14)$ | $(15)$ | $(16)$ | $(17)$ | $(19)$ |
| Difference (\%) | $-6.0 \%$ | $-6.4 \%$ | $-6.9 \%$ | $-7.4 \%$ | $-8.2 \%$ |

The outlook on housing starts in the Province is worse than it was in 2016. Table 2 shows the forecast housing starts that were projected in 2016 in comparison to those forecast in 2018.

Table 2
Housing Starts ${ }^{4}$
2018 to 2022

| Housing Starts | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Outlook | 916 | 894 | 905 | 916 | 943 |
| 2016 Outlook | 1,539 | 1,426 | 1,422 | 1,383 | 1,315 |
| Difference | $(623)$ | $(532)$ | $(517)$ | $(467)$ | $(372)$ |
| Difference (\%) | $-40 \%$ | $-37 \%$ | $-36 \%$ | $-34 \%$ | $-28 \%$ |

The outlook on household disposable income in the province is worse than it was in 2016. Table 3 shows the household disposable income that were projected in 2016 in comparison to those forecast in 2018.

[^1]Table 3

## Household Disposable Income (\$ millions) ${ }^{5}$ <br> 2018 to 2022

| Household Disposable Income | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 Outlook | 17266 | 17,425 | 17,615 | 17,890 | 18,253 |
| 2016 Outlook | 19,232 | 19,741 | 20,053 | 20,462 | 20,946 |
| Difference | $(1,966)$ | $(2,316)$ | $(2,438)$ | $(2,572)$ | $(2,693)$ |
| Difference (\%) | $-10.2 \%$ | $-11.7 \%$ | $-12.2 \%$ | $-12.6 \%$ | $-12.9 \%$ |

The Provinces declining economy since 2016 is reflected in the Company's reduced energy sales. In 2016 and 2017 Newfoundland Power’s energy sales declined by 0.1\% and $0.5 \%$, respectively. This was the first time in consecutive years that the Company's energy sales have declined. Energy sales in 2018 and 2019 are also expected to decline by $0.1 \%$ and $0.5 \%$. Energy sales are expected to increase by $0.1 \%$ in 2020. However, this includes the impact of a leap year which positively impacts sales growth by approximately $0.3 \%$.

Average energy sales for the 2016 to 2020 period are expected to decline by $0.2 \%$. This compares to an average increase in sales of $1.9 \%$ for the 2011 to 2015 period. This demonstrates a measurable change in the Company's business risk since it was most recently assessed by the Board in 2016.

## C. Muskrat Falls

Newfoundland Power is dependent upon Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") for the bulk generation and transmission of electricity to its customers. The cost of Hydro's electricity supply is passed on to customers through the rates charged by Newfoundland Power. With the impending completion of the Muskrat Falls Project in the coming years, the Company's supply costs are expected to increase materially.

Newfoundland Power’s business risk in relation to the Muskrat Falls project was first assessed by the Board in the Company's 2016/2017 General Rate Application. Since that time, estimates of the in-service capital cost of the Muskrat Falls project have increased by approximately $\$ 3.6$ billion. This increase alone is greater than the combined book value of the current utility investment of Hydro and Newfoundland Power. In addition, estimates of the annual base operating and maintenance costs for the Muskrat Falls Project have increased from $\$ 34$ million starting in 2018 to $\$ 109$ million beginning in

[^2]2020. ${ }^{6}$ These forecast operating and maintenance costs are approximately 1.7 times higher than Newfoundland Power's annual operating costs. ${ }^{7}$

The increased power supply costs estimated for the Muskrat Falls Project are expected to result in customer rates that are materially higher than they are today. Nalcor estimated average customer rates following its latest cost estimates for the Muskrat Falls Project to be $22.9 \varnothing / \mathrm{kWh} .{ }^{8}$ Government has indicated that it intends to limit residential rates to approximately $17 \phi / \mathrm{kWh} .{ }^{9}$

Currently, Newfoundland Power's average residential customer electricity cost is approximately $12.4 \phi / \mathrm{kWh}$. An increase to the $17 \phi / \mathrm{kWh}$ limit suggested publically represents an approximate $37 \%$ increase from current customer rates. This increase in electricity rates is expected to occur over a period defined by a worsening economic outlook.

## D. Newfoundland Power's Relative Risk Compared to its Peers

Newfoundland Power engages cost of capital experts to assess its risk relative to its peers. Newfoundland Power is not practically in a position to make such assessments itself. In the Company's 2016/2017 General Rate Application and, most recently in the Company’s 2019/2020 General Rate Application, Newfoundland Power engaged Mr. James Coyne, of Concentric Energy Advisors to assess the Company’s risk.

In his assessment of Newfoundland Power’s risk during the 2016/2017 General Rate Application hearings, Mr. Coyne stated:
"I find higher business risk today than in 2012, and the reason for this is that the company is exposed to more risk due to changes in the company's electric supply from Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro particularly in terms of cost, and I'll come back to that. It also is exposed to more risk as a result of a weakened economy. Both of these factors place Newfoundland Power in a unique and higher risk position than its Canadian and U.S. peers." ${ }^{10}$

[^3]In 2018, Mr. Coyne assessed Newfoundland Power's risk relative to its peers. In his expert evidence filed in relation to the Company's 2019/2020 General Rate Application, Mr. Coyne stated:
"Concentric concludes that Newfoundland Power has above average business risk compared to other Canadian electric utilities. Further, Newfoundland Power's business risk has increased compared to other Canadian investor-owned electric utilities since its last GRA."11

## E. Concluding

The Board has recognized that the Muskrat Falls Project and a worsening economy can have an impact on Newfoundland Power's business risk. Newfoundland Power's business risk has increased since the Board's final order in relation to the Company's 2016/2017 General Rate Application. This is primarily the result of increased risk associated with the Muskrat Falls Project and a worsening economic outlook for the Province. These two risks effectively mean that future electricity rates will be materially higher than they are today in an economy that is forecast to decline worse than previously anticipated.

Following an assessment of Newfoundland Power’s risk, Mr. James Coyne, has concluded that Newfoundland Power's business risks are higher than its Canadian peers. Newfoundland Power concurs with the opinions of Mr. Coyne.

[^4]
## Conference Board of Canada

Provincial Outlook 2016 - Long Term Economic Forecast (December 11, 2015)
Key Economic Indicators: Newfoundland and Labrador
Key Economic Indicators: Newfoundland and Labrador (forecast completed December 11, 2015)

|  | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | 2020 | 2021 | 2022 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GDP at market prices (\$ millions) | 29,062 | 33,494 | 32,360 | 35,831 | 37,056 | 34,308 | 34,642 | 35,446 | 37,170 | 40,605 | 40,775 | 41,869 | 43,063 |
|  | 16.4 | 15.3 | -3.4 | 10.7 | 3.4 | -7.4 | 1.0 | 2.3 | 4.9 | 9.2 | 0.4 | 2.7 | 2.9 |
| GDP at market prices (2007 \$ millions) | 28,033 | 28,904 | 27,592 | 29,588 | 28,724 | 28,668 | 28,428 | 28,472 | 28,870 | 30,898 | 30,395 | 30,614 | 30,860 |
|  | 5.9 | 3.1 | -4.5 | 7.2 | -2.9 | $-0.2$ | -0.8 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 7.0 | -1.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| GDP at basic prices (2007 \$ millions) | 26,272 | 27,095 | 25,838 | 27,718 | 26,924 | 26,872 | 26,646 | 26,687 | 27,061 | 28,961 | 28,489 | 28,695 | 28,926 |
|  | 5.6 | 3.1 | -4.6 | 7.3 | -2.9 | $-0.2$ | -0.8 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 7.0 | -1.6 | 0.7 | 0.8 |
| Consumer price Index (2002 = 1.0) | 1.174 | 1.214 | 1.239 | 1.260 | 1.284 | 1.293 | 1.352 | 1.382 | 1.411 | 1.443 | 1.472 | 1.502 | 1.533 |
|  | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 0.7 | 4.6 | 2.2 | 2.1 | 2.3 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.0 |
| Implicit price deflator- | 1.037 | 1.159 | 1.173 | 1.211 | 1.290 | 1.197 | 1.219 | 1.245 | 1.287 | 1.314 | 1.342 | 1.368 | 1.395 |
| GDP at market prices (2007 = 1.0) | 9.9 | 11.8 | 1.2 | 3.2 | 6.5 | $-7.2$ | 1.8 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 2.0 |
| Wages and salarles per employee | 41 | 43 | 46 | 48 | 51 | 54 | 55 | 56 | 58 | 60 | 61 | 63 | 64 |
| (\$000s) | 2.9 | 5.7 | 5.1 | 5.7 | 6.9 | 5.1 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Primary household income (\$ millions) | 14,185 | 15,375 | 16,567 | 17,718 | 18,504 | 19,325 | 19,711 | 20,102 | 20,687 | 21,250 | 21,588 | 22,042 | 22,573 |
|  | 5.2 | 8.4 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 2.7 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Household disposable income (\$ millions) | 13,873 | 14,751 | 15,758 | 16,680 | 17,321 | 18,028 | 18,341 | 18,727 | 19,232 | 19,741 | 20,053 | 20,462 | 20,946 |
|  | 4.7 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 5.8 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 1.7 | 2.1 | 2.7 | 2.6 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.4 |
| Household nel savings rate (per cent) | 5.1 | 5.9 | 7.7 | 8.4 | 8.9 | 11.3 | 10.6 | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 11.1 | 11.3 |
| Population (000s) | 522 | 525 | 527 | 528 | 529 | 528 | 529 | 529 | 528 | 527 | 525 | 523 | 523 |
|  | 1.0 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.1 | $-0.1$ | 0.1 | 0.0 | $-0.1$ | -0.2 | -0.4 | -0.3 | 0.0 |
| Employment (000s) | 223 | 232 | 241 | 243 | 238 | 236 | 235 | 234 | 233 | 233 | 231 | 230 | 231 |
|  | 3.6 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 1.1 | -1.9 | -0.9 | -0.6 | $-0.4$ | $-0.5$ | 0.1 | $-0.9$ | $-0.3$ | 0.1 |
| Labour force (000s) | 261 | 265 | 274 | 275 | 271 | 271 | 270 | 267 | 264 | 263 | 260 | 258 | 258 |
|  | 2.4 | 1.7 | 3.4 | 0.1 | -1.4 | 0.0 | -0.2 | -1.2 | -1.1 | $-0.4$ | -1.3 | -0.6 | -0.1 |
| Lahour force particlpation rate (per cent) | 59.7 | 60.2 | 62.0 | 61.8 | 61.0 | 61.2 | 61.1 | 60.4 | 59.8 | 59.7 | 59.2 | 59.0 | 58.9 |
| Unemployment rate (per cent) | 14.7 | 12.6 | 12.4 | 11.5 | 12.0 | 12.7 | 13.1 | 12.4 | 11.9 | 11.4 | 11.1 | 10.8 | 10.5 |
| Retail sales (\$ millions) | 7,453 | 7,833 | 8,182 | 8,589 | 8,881 | 8,934 | 9,224 | 9,429 | 9,702 | 9,978 | 10,163 | 10,411 | 10,690 |
|  | 4.7 | 5.1 | 4.5 | 5.0 | 3.4 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 2.2 | 2.9 | 2.8 | 1.9 | 2.4 | 2.7 |
| Housing starts (number of units) | 3,606 | 3,488 | 3,885 | 2,862 | 2,119 | 1,820 | 1,732 | 1,699 | 1,539 | 1,426 | 1,422 | 1,383 | 1,315 |
|  | 18.0 | $-3.3$ | 11.4 | -26.3 | -26.0 | -14.1 | $-4.8$ | -1.9 | $-9.4$ | $-7.4$ | $-0.2$ | $-2.7$ | -4.9 |
| Net interprovincial migration (000s) | 0.149 | 0.751 | 0.235 | 0.222 | $-0.661$ | $-0.713$ | -0.744 | $-1.196$ | -1.482 | $-2.188$ | -1.755 | $-0.035$ | 1.647 |
|  | -93.9 | 404.0 | $-68.7$ | $-5.5$ | -397.7 | -7.8 | -4.4 | -60.8 | -23.9 | $-47.6$ | 19.8 | 98.0 | 4805.7 |
| Net international migration (000s) | 0.691 | 1.106 | 1.478 | 1.228 | 0.739 | 0.499 | 0.783 | 0.760 | 0.737 | 0.711 | 0.688 | 0.675 | 0.676 |
|  | -20.5 | 60.1 | 33.6 | -16.9 | -39.8 | -32.5 | 57.0 | $-2.9$ | $-3.0$ | -3.5 | $-3.2$ | -1.9 | 0.1 |

Shaded area represents forecast data.
All data are in millions of dollars, seasonally adjusted at annual rates, unless otherwise specified.
For each indicator, the first line is the level and the second line is the percentage change from the previous period.
Sources: The Conference Board of Canada; Statistics Canada; CMHC Housing Time Series Database.
Key Economic Indicators: Newfoundland and Labrador cont'd (forecast completed December 11, 2015)

|  | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | 2027 | 2028 | 2029 | 2030 | 2031 | 2032 | 2033 | 2034 | 2035 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GDP at market prices (\$ millions) | 43,856 | 44,515 | 46,927 | 49,220 | 52,601 | 54,214 | 56,060 | 57,904 | 60,022 | 59,843 | 59,981 | 60,769 | 61,128 |
|  | 1.8 | 1.5 | 5.4 | 4.9 | 6.9 | 3.1 | 3.4 | 3.3 | 3.7 | -0.3 | 0.2 | 1.3 | 0.6 |
| GDP al market prices (2007 \$ millions) | 30,845 | 30,666 | 31,791 | 32,763 | 34,480 | 34,917 | 35,468 | 36,010 | 36,749 | 35,975 | 35,350 | 35,187 | 34,726 |
|  | 0.0 | $-0.6$ | 3.7 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 | -2.1 | -1.7 | -0.5 | -1.3 |
| GDP at basic prices (2007 \$ millions) | 28,912 | 28,744 | 29,799 | 30,709 | 32,319 | 32,728 | 33,245 | 33,753 | 34,446 | 33,720 | 33,134 | 32,981 | 32,550 |
|  | 0.0 | -0.6 | 3.7 | 3.1 | 5.2 | 1.3 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 2.1 | -2.1 | -1.7 | -0.5 | -1.3 |
| Consumer price index (2002 = 1.0) | 1.564 | 1.596 | 1.629 | 1.663 | 1.697 | 1.733 | 1.768 | 1.805 | 1.843 | 1.881 | 1.920 | 1.960 | 2.000 |
|  | 2.0 | 2.0 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.1 |
| Implicit price deflator- | 1.422 | 1.452 | 1.476 | 1.502 | 1.525 | 1.553 | 1.581 | 1.608 | 1.633 | 1.664 | 1.697 | 1.727 | 1.760 |
| GDP at market prices (2007 = 1.0) | 1.9 | 2.1 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.5 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Wages and salaries per employee | 66 | 67 | 69 | 71 | 73 | 75 | 76 | 78 | 80 | 81 | 83 | 84 | 86 |
| (\$000s) | 2.2 | 2.0 | 2.6 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 2.6 | 2.0 | 2.3 | 2.7 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.9 |
| Primary household income (\$ millions) | 23,065 | 23,297 | 23,700 | 24,092 | 24,498 | 24,962 | 25,471 | 25,915 | 26,379 | 26,712 | 27,015 | 27,396 | 27,767 |
|  | 2.2 | 1.0 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.7 | 1.9 | 2.0 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 |
| Household disposable income (\$ millions) | 21,416 | 21,687 | 22,090 | 22,455 | 22,823 | 23,242 | 23,713 | 24,141 | 24,583 | 24,926 | 25,242 | 25,615 | 25,979 |
|  | 2.2 | 1.3 | 1.9 | 1.7 | 1.6 | 1.8 | 2.0 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 | 1.3 | 1.5 | 1.4 |
| Household net savings rate (per cent) | 11.4 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.5 | 11.4 | 11.3 | 11.2 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 11.0 | 11.0 | 10.9 | 10.9 |
| Population (000s) | 525 | 526 | 527 | 525 | 522 | 518 | 518 | 516 | 515 | 513 | 511 | 509 | 506 |
|  | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | -0.4 | -0.6 | -0.6 | -0.1 | -0.3 | -0.3 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.4 | -0.4 |
| Employment (000s) | 231 | 228 | 225 | 223 | 219 | 218 | 217 | 216 | 213 | 211 | 209 | 208 | 206 |
|  | 0.0 | -1.3 | -1.0 | -1.2 | -1.4 | -0.8 | -0.1 | -0.8 | -1.1 | -1.0 | -0.9 | -0.7 | -0.9 |
| Labour force (000s) | 258 | 255 | 253 | 249 | 246 | 244 | 243 | 241 | 239 | 236 | 234 | 232 | 230 |
|  | -0.1 | -1.1 | -0.9 | -1.3 | -1.5 | -0.8 | -0.1 | -0.8 | -1.1 | -1.0 | -1.0 | -0.7 | -0.8 |
| Labour force participation rate (per cent) | 58.7 | 57.9 | 57.2 | 56.7 | 56.2 | 56.0 | 55.9 | 55.5 | 54.9 | 54.5 | 54.1 | 53.9 | 53.6 |
| Unemployment rate (per cent) | 10.5 | 10.6 | 10.8 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.7 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 | 10.6 |
| Retail sales (\$ millions) | 10,962 | 11,118 | 11,367 | 11,630 | 11,911 | 12,230 | 12,568 | 12,880 | 13,207 | 13,476 | 13,734 | 14,036 | 14,339 |
|  | 2.5 | 1.4 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.7 | 2.8 | 2.5 | 2.5 | 2.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.2 |
| Housing starts (number of units) | 1,246 | 1,178 | 1,110 | 1,041 | 973 | 904 | 836 | 768 | 699 | 631 | 562 | 494 | 426 |
|  | -5.2 | -5.5 | -5.8 | -6.2 | -6.6 | -7.0 | -7.6 | -8.2 | -8.9 | -9.8 | -10.8 | -12.2 | -13.8 |
| Net interprovinclal migration (000s) | 1.645 | 1.816 | -1.516 | -2.314 | -2.003 | 0.994 | -0.005 | -0.147 | -0.157 | -0.117 | -0.167 | -0.137 | -0.157 |
|  | -0.1 | 10.4 | -183.5 | -52.6 | 13.4 | 149.6 | -100.5 | -2840.0 | -6.8 | 25.5 | -42.7 | 18.0 | -14.6 |
| Net international migration (000s) | 0.679 | 0.680 | 0.678 | 0.689 | 0.688 | 0.686 | 0.686 | 0.685 | 0.702 | 0.700 | 0.704 | 0.708 | 0.709 |
|  | 0.4 | 0.1 | -0.3 | 1.6 | -0.1 | -0.3 | 0.0 | -0.1 | 2.5 | -0.3 | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 |

Shaded area represents forecast data. For each indicator, the first line is the level and the second line is the percentage change from the previous period. Sources: The Conference Board of Canada; Statistics Canada; CMHC Housing Time Series Database.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ See Order No. P.U. 18 (2016), page 19, lines 16-19.
    2 See Attachment A to this response to Request for Information for Conference Board of Canada, Provincial Outlook 2016, Long Term Forecast, December 11, 2015, Key Economic Indicators: Newfoundland and Labrador. The Conference Board of Canada, Provincial Outlook 2018, Long Term Forecast, January 19, 2018 was filed in Volume 2, Supporting Materials, Tab 3 - Customer Energy \& Demand Forecast, April 2018, Attachment A. Newfoundland and Labrador's Key Economic Indicators are found at pages 20-21 of the Attachment.

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ See Conference Board of Canada, Provincial Outlook 2018, Long Term Forecast, January 19, 2018, pages 20-21 for information relating to the 2018 Outlook. See Conference Board of Canada, Provincial Outlook 2016, Long Term Forecast, pages 12-13 for information relating to the 2016 Outlook.
    4 Ibid.

[^2]:    5 Ibid

[^3]:    $6 \quad$ See Nalcor Energy’s June 23, 2017 news release Nalcor Energy provides update on Muskrat Falls Project and slide 14 of Nalcor Energy’s June 23, 2017 Muskrat Falls Project Update presentation.
    7 Newfoundland Power’s 2020 test year operating costs are forecast to be approximately $\$ 64$ million. $\$ 109$ million / $\$ 64$ million $=1.70$.
    8 See Nalcor Energy’s Muskrat Falls Project Update, June 23, 2017 presentation, slide 19.
    $9 \quad$ See the July 28, 2017 Telegram article which provides "Premier Dwight Ball said his government's mission is to make sure rates don't go much above 17 cents per kWh when Muskrat Falls is fully online in 2021..."
    10 Newfoundland Power 2016/2017 General Rate Application, Mr. Coyne Transcript, April 4, 2016, page 17, lines 11-22.

[^4]:    11 See Expert Evidence of Mr. James Coyne, found in Volume 2, Supporting Materials, Tab B, Cost of Capital, page 63, line 18 to page 64 line 11.

