

1 **Section 3: Finance/Fair Return**

2
3 **Q. Reference: “2025/2026 General Rate Application,” Newfoundland Power Inc.,**
4 **December 12, 2023, vol. 1, Evidence, sec. 3.2.8, p. 3-14, f.n. 28.**

5 **Footnote 28 states:**

6 **Cash flow metrics from 2022 to 2026 are negatively impacted by the**
7 **combination of the current wholesale rate charged by Hydro and an increase**
8 **in energy sales. Additional energy requirements are purchased from Hydro**
9 **at a second block rate of 18.165 ¢/kWh. This is substantially higher than**
10 **additional sales revenue, which reflects an average supply cost rate of 6.940**
11 **¢/kWh. This dynamic results in a negative impact on operating cash flow**
12 **pre-working capital. Ultimately, any additional costs are collected from**
13 **customers via the Company’s Energy Supply Cost Variance Clause. This**
14 **dynamic is temporary as it is anticipated that the second block rate will be**
15 **substantially lower following Hydro’s next GRA, reflecting commissioning of**
16 **the Muskrat Falls Project.**

17
18 **Would the cash flow metrics be improved if rates were rebased? Please explain why**
19 **or why not.**

20
21 **A. See the response to Request for Information PUB-NP-061.**